SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Bexar County State: TX

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$10,638,383 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|--|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall | |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 39 | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 91.20 % | 93.23 % | 22 | 93.55 % | 30 | 27 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 76.66 % | 86.70 % | 35 | 86.03 % | 14 | 11 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 39.3 | 4.53 | 1 | 4.83 | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 90.11 % | 74.79 % | 28 | 81.34 % | 30 | 38 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 58.12 % | 59.11 % | 27 | 70.65 % | 26 | 25 | |
| _ow-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 75.42 % | 76.01 % | 26 | 81.57 % | 27 | 25 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 54.24 % | 39.96 % | 17 | 45.66 % | 62 | 66 | |
| _ease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 98.24 % | 1 | 96.25 % | 100 | 100 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | tate: 16 / 39 | Nation | ally: 54 | 52 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | d Units: | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$19,298 | \$15,899 | | \$27,146 | 118 Units | 18.90 | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$13,349 | \$10,137 | | \$15,140 | 84 Units | 13.40 | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$14,830 | \$31,343 | | \$20,860 | 192 Units | 30.70 | |
| TBRA Unit | \$903 | \$3,767 | | \$3,210 | 231 Units | 37.00 | |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (215 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Bexar County TX

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in **HOME-assisted projects**)

PJ: State:* National:** Rental \$81,689 \$57,008 \$97,127

Homebuyer

Homeowner \$67,203 \$15,732 \$61,684 \$34,026 \$76,352 \$23,684

CHDO Operating Expenses: (% of allocation)

PJ:

0.0 % 1.2 % **National Avg:**

| R.S. Means Cost Index: | 0.81 |
|-------------------------------|------|
|-------------------------------|------|

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | ebuyer Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|
| White: | 39.8 | 9.5 | 17.7 | 8.6 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 33.1 | 17.9 | 14.3 |
| Black/African American: | 15.3 | 11.9 | 10.4 | 34.3 | Elderly: | 20.3 | 3.6 28.6 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 30.5 | 33.3 | 77.1 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 6.8 | 42.9 25.5 | 8.6 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 9.3 | 2.4 11.5 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 44.9 | 78.6 | 70.8 | 57.1 | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 40.7 | 8.3 | 24.5 | 14.3 | Section 8: | 22.0 | 2.4 | |
| 2 Persons: | 34.7 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 31.4 | HOME TBRA: | 1.7 | | |
| 3 Persons: | 8.5 | 32.1 | 19.3 | 28.6 | Other: | 5.9 | | |
| 4 Persons: | 8.5 | 20.2 | 16.1 | 17.1 | No Assistance: | 70.3 | | |
| 5 Persons: | 4.2 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 5.7 | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 2.5 | 8.3 | 1.0 | 2.9 | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant | Units / Complet | ted Units Since 200 | 169 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM **SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS**

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Group Rank: Bexar County State: TX 54 **Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):**

(Percentile) State Rank: 39 PJs

Overall Rank: 52 (Percentile)

Summary: Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 74.60% | 90.11 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 52.28% | 58.12 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 75.42 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 91.32% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | 'EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.030 | 3.98 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.